

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,510	03/26/2004	Fan Ho	24295/81401	1180	
7590 03/27/2006			EXAMINER		
Philip W. Woo SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP			ANDUJAR, LEONARDO		
Suite 5000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
555 California S	Street	2826	2826		
San Francisco, CA 94104-1715			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
۲۰۰۱ ا		10/810,510	HO, FAN			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Leonardo Andújar	2826			
7	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			dress		
Period for F		•				
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING In softime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is is provided the provision of the provis	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this corponed (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)∏ Th 3)∏ Si	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 s</u> his action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi nce this application is in condition for allowa osed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters		merits is		
Disposition	of Claims					
4a 5)	aim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application	Papers					
10)□ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examire drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acoplicant may not request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct e oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CF			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of 3) Informa) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 lo(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)		

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-20, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 686.
 - II. Claims 24-31, drawn to a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 109.
 - III. Claims 21-23, drawn to a method of testing an integrated circuit chip, classified in class 341, subclass 120.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, unpatentability of the Group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group II invention, because the device of Group I invention could be made by a process materially different from that of the Group II invention. For example, the product of claim 1 can be made by another and materially different process such as a process that respectively couple the first/second IC chips with first/second ground planes whereas the ground planes are provided *in* the substrate. Note that method of claim 24 specifies that the ground planes are provided

Art Unit: 2826

on the substrate. Also, the process of claim 24 can be use to make a multi chip devices where the chips are provided within a substrate. Note that claim 1 specifies that the fist/second IC chips are provided on the substrate whereas claim 24 does not specify the chip locations.

- 3. Inventions III and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, product of claim 1 can be tested by testing the first chip while affecting an operation of the second IC chip..
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not co-extensive and separate examination would be require, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

Application/Control Number: 10/810,510

Art Unit: 2826

a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR

Page 4

1.17(h).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Leonardo Andújar whose telephone number is 571-272-

1912. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon through Thu from 9:00 AM to

7:30 PM EST.

8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rimary Examine

Art Unit 2826